UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,829	07/20/2006	Joris Franckx	TYR-P0010	8912
27268 BAKER & DAI	7590 11/14/200 NIELS LLP	EXAMINER		
300 NORTH MERIDIAN STREET			ANDERSON, GUY G	
SUITE 2700 INDIANAPOLIS, IN 46204			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2883	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/586,829	FRANCKX ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Guy G. Anderson	2883			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 Ju This action is FINAL . 2b)☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 21-37 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-37 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 20 July 2006 is/are: a) Applicant may not request that any objection to the ore Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction	vn from consideration. r election requirement. r. ⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to bedrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	2 37 CFR 1.85(a).			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/20/2006.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 10/586,829 Page 2

Art Unit: 2883

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1.1 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 1.2 Claim 21-24, 28, 30-32, 35 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by US-6527458 to Kim.

Regarding claim 21-24, 28, 30-32, 35 Kim discloses a compact optical transceiver integrated module comprising/wherein:

- 21. (NEW) An optical device comprising an enclosure having a wall member defining a cavity and a sealable fiber entry portion, [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively] and an optical component located within the cavity and at least two optical fibers connected to the optical component and extending, substantially adjacent one another, through the entry portion. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively]
- 22. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein the optical fibers provide an incoming and outgoing fiber for the optical component. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively]
- 23. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein the fiber entry portion is arranged to receive the at least two fibers substantially side-by-side as they extend through the entry portion. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively]
- 24. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 23, wherein the optical fibers

Art Unit: 2883

are arranged substantially parallel to one another as they extend through the entry portion. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively]

- 28. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 27, wherein the laminate comprises a moisture resistant layer. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively, Col. 5, lines 55-67 discloses an insulating encapsulate that laminates the device for insulation from moisture.]
- 30. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein the enclosure comprises an insulating layer. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively, Col. 5, lines 55-67 discloses an insulating encapsulate for insulation from moisture.]
 31. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein the optical device comprises a plurality of optical components located within the cavity, and at least two optical fibers connected to each optical component and extending, substantially adjacent one anther, through the entry portion. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively]
- 32. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 31, wherein the wall member defines a plurality of fiber entry portions, such that each optical component is associated with a separate fiber entry portion through which the optical fibers to which each individual optical component is connected extend through a separate fiber entry portion to the optical fibers connected to other optical components. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively]
- 35. (NEW) A method of sealingly enclosing an optical component, the method comprising the steps of: providing an enclosure having a wall member defining a cavity and a sealable fiber entry portion; arranging an optical component connected to at least two optical fibers within the cavity such that the two optical fibers extend, substantially adjacent one another, through the entry portion; and

Art Unit: 2883

sealing the fiber entry portion so as to sealably retain the optical component within the cavity. [Fig. 2-6, #156,158, 159, 116, 125, 156, 112, 122, 151, 115, 124, 155164, 165, 166, Col. 4-6, lines 54-67, 1-67 respectively, see particularly Col. 5, lines 55-64]

Page 4

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2.1 The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2.2 Claims 25-29 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US-6527458 to Kim in view of US-5195155 to Shimaoka and in view of US-5299273 to Evans and in view of US-6760098 to Salo and in view of US-7168863 to Yajima. Regarding claims 25-29, Kim does not specifically disclose:
 - 25. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein at least a portion of the enclosure is flexible.
 - 26. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, further comprising temperature control means.
 - 27. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein the enclosure comprises a laminate.
 - 29. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 28, wherein the moisture resistant layer comprises aluminum.

Shimaoka disclose an optical module with a thermo electric cooler for temperature control. [Fig. 17, #10]

Salo discloses a refractometer optical module with a flexible sealing fro the window to the housing. [Col. 3, lines 8-27]

Evans discloses an optical fiber to laminate adapter.[Fig. 3]

Art Unit: 2883

Yajima discloses an optical module with aluminum being used to absorb moisture. [Col. 12, lines 15-25]

Page 5

Since all of these references are from the same field of endeavor, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the various structural features of each reference with the module of Kim in order to provide better functionality such as temperature control.

2.3 Claim 33 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US-6527458 to Kim.

Regarding claim 33, Kim does not specifically disclose:

33. (NEW) An optical device according to Claim 21, wherein the enclosure is of a size and shape for fitting into an optical fiber organizer tray.

However, a change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. <u>In re Rose</u>, 105 USPQ 237

2.4 Claim 36-37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US-6527458 to Kim in view of US-2004/0240804 to Mahapatra and in view of US-6151338 to Grubb in view of US-5971629 to Bloom.

Regarding claim 36-37, Kim does not specifically disclose:

- 36. (NEW) A method according to Claim 35, further comprising the step of providing a polymer strip adjacent the optical fibers at the entry portion prior to sealing the entry portion.
- 37. (NEW) A method according to Claim 35, wherein the fiber entry portion is sealed using heat and/or pressure.

Mahapatra discloses a liquid crystal polymer clad optical fiber for use in hermetic packaging wherein a polymer coating is applied to fibers to enhance strength and promote fabrication of hermetically sealed opto electronic packagers. [Abstract.] Grubb discloses a high power laser system that can be used to solder or weld in order to seal together or merge adjacent outer polymer claddings of optical fibers. [Col. 15, lines 25-35]

Bloom discloses a method of sealing an optical fiber by spray coating a polymer coating on it. [Fig. 15, Col. 7, lines 30-40.]

Art Unit: 2883

Since Bloom, Grubb, Mahapatra and Kim are from the same field of endeavor, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine the polymer coatings of Mahapatra and the heating technique of Grubb with the module of Kim in order to provide a more efficient hermetically sealed package. Since Kim discloses hermetic sealing techniques, no impermissible hindsight would be needed to combine the references. A PHOSITA would be able to combine the techniques in order to improve the hermetic sealing process.

Page 6

2.5 Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US-6527458 to Kim in view of US-6850461 to Maas.

Regarding claim 34, Kim discloses:

34) a an optical device comprising an enclosure having a wall member defining a cavity and a sealable fiber entry portion; an optical component located within the cavity and at least two optical fibers connected to the optical component and extending, substantially adjacent one another, through the entry portion;

Kim does not specifically disclose:

34b) A fiber optic organizer tray assembly, comprising: an optical fiber organizer tray; and said enclosure being profiled for fitting into said optical fiber organizer tray.

Maas discloses a fiber optic seismic array telemetry system comprising a fiber storage tray that also stores optical components such as isolators and amplifiers. [Fig. 9, Col. 6-7, lines 66-67 and 1-7 respectively.]

Since Maas and Kim are from the same field of endeavor, it would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to be motivated by the teachings of Maas in regards to placing components on a fiber storage tray and to combine those teachings with a module such as that disclosed in Kim in order to store optical modules and organize fiber simultaneously in one unit.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Guy G. Anderson whose telephone number is 571.272.8045. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Saturday 1400-2200.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,829 Page 7

Art Unit: 2883

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font can be reached on 571.272.2415. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Guy G Anderson/ Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2883 /Frank G Font/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2883

November 8, 2008